

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Vinguia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/643,259	08/22/2000	Takashi Yamaguchi	0649-0758P-SP	9019	
75	90 05/29/2003				
Birch Stewart Kolasch & Birch LLP			EXAMINER		
P O Box 747	A 22040-0747		SHORT, PATRICIA A		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1712	13	
			DATE MAILED: 05/29/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/643259	Yamaguchi Group Art Unit	
Office Action Summary	Examiner Show	Group Art Unit	
		1712	
—The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	rs on the cover sheet L	peneath the correspondence addre	ss—
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET T	three	THE MAIL INC	DATE
OF THIS COMMUNICATION.			Ì
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by defaul Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by star 	eply within the statutory mini	mum of thirty (30) days will be considered ti	mely.
Status	[] a 20.17		
Status Responsive to communication(s) filed on	111,0003		•
This policy is EINA1		i to the morite is closer	lin
 Inis action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for allowance excep accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 19 	ot for formal matters, pro 35 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 2	secution as to the ments is closed 13.	
Disposition of Claims			
Claim(s) 1-8, 11, 12	is/are pending in the applica-	ation.	
Of the above claim(s)		is/are withdrawn from consi	deration.
□ Claim(s)		is/are allowed.	
$\Box \text{ Claim(s)} = \frac{1 - \delta}{1 - \delta} + \frac{1}{1 - \delta}$	·	is/are rejected.	•
		IS/AIC OBJECTED TO:	
☐ Claim(s)————————————————————————————————————		are subject to restriction or	election
☐ Claim(s)————————————————————————————————————		requirement.	
Application Papers	t Daview DTO 049		
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw	/ing Heview, P1O-946.	d 🗆 disapproved.	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on			
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are obj	ected to by the Examina		
 ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 	<u>.</u>		
	•		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)	. undor 25 U.S.C. & 11 9	(a)-(d).	
 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies 	of the priority document	s have been	
received.received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Numbers)	mber)		
$\hfill\Box$ received in this national stage application from the	International Bureau (PC	71 Hule 1 7.2(a)).	
*Certified copies not received:		•	
Attachment(s)			
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper	er No(s)	☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	
□ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892		□ Notice of Informal Patent Applicat	
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTC)- 9 48	Other	
4	ffice Action Summary		

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97) Part of Paper No.

Application/Control Number: 09/643,259

Art Unit: 1712

This action is in response to the request for continued examination (RCE) and preliminary amendment filed on April 9, 2003. The amendment previously filed on July 8, 2002 under 37 CFR 1.116 has been entered.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2, 6-8, 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Osborne. The reference teaches molding compositions comprising fibrous material, a crystalline unsaturated polyester having a melting point of 50° to 115° C, an amorphous unsaturated polyester, a free radical generator and a copolymerizable monomer. See claims 1 and 2, col. 4, lines 1-3 and examples. Copolymerizable monomers include monomers other than styrene. See col. 3, lines 33-37. Thus, the reference describes a composition comprising a fibrous material, a crystalline unsaturated polyester, an amorphous unsaturated polyester, a free radical generator and a copolymerizable monomer other than styrene encompassed by the claims.

Claims 1-8, 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Osborne alone or in view of each of Sakai and Fujita. Osborne is discussed above. Osborne does not disclose the glass transition temperature or softening point of the amorphous unsaturated

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/643,259

Art Unit: 1712

polyester. However, the ranges recited in claim 3 encompasses amorphous polyesters conventionally used in the art. It would have been obvious to select a monomer other than styrene from the group of copolymerizable monomers taught by Osborne as the copolymerizable monomer for use with a crystalline unsaturated polyester, a conventional amorphous unsaturated polyester, a free radical generator and a fibrous material in conventional amounts. References are not limited to their preferred embodiments and the motivation of the reference does not have to be the same as applicant's motivation. A composition that does not contain styrene would be expected not to have the odor of styrene.

Alternatively, each of Sakai and Fujita discloses that the odor problem of styrene is recognized in the art. See Sakai at col. 1, lines 13-18 and Fujita at col. 1, lines 14-46. In view of the art recognized odor problem of styrene, as evidenced by each of Sakai and Fujita, it would have been obvious to use a select a monomer other than styrene as the copolymerizable monomer in the compositions of Osborne in order to eliminate the styrene odor.

Claims 1-8, 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by Van Gasse. The reference teaches molding compositions comprising fibrous material, a crystalline unsaturated polyester having a melting point of 70° C, an unsaturated polyester, a free radical generator and an unsaturated monomer. See example. Fibrous material can be used in amounts of up to 80%. See col. 3, line 37, col. 4, lines 42-43. As the unsaturated polyester used in Resin A is a conventional unsaturated polyester for use in unsaturated polyester compositions, it is inherently amorphous and has the Tg and/or softening point required in the claims. Unsaturated monomers include monomers other than styrene. See col. 3, lines 4-9. Thus, the reference describes a composition comprising a fibrous material, a crystalline unsaturated polyester, an amorphous unsaturated polyester, a free radical generator and a copolymerizable monomer other than styrene encompassed by the claims.

Application/Control Number: 09/643,259

Art Unit: 1712

Claims 1-8, 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Van Gasse alone or in view of each of Sakai and Fujita. Van Gasse is discussed above. Van Gasse does not disclose the glass transition temperature or softening point of the conventional unsaturated polyester. However, the ranges recited in claim 3 encompasses amorphous unsaturated polyesters conventionally used in the art. It would have been obvious to select a monomer other than styrene from the group of unsaturated monomers taught by Van Gasse as the unsaturated monomer for use with a crystalline unsaturated polyester, a conventional amorphous unsaturated polyester, a free radical generator and a fibrous material. References are not limited to their preferred embodiments and the motivation of the reference does not have to be the same as applicant's motivation. A composition that does not contain styrene would be expected not to have the odor of styrene.

Alternatively, each of Sakai and Fujita discloses that the odor problem of styrene is recognized in the art. See Sakai at col. 1, lines 13-18 and Fujita at col. 1, lines 14-46. In view of the art recognized odor problem of styrene, as evidenced by each of Sakai and Fujita, it would have been obvious to use a select a monomer other than styrene as the copolymerizable monomer in the compositions of Van Gasse in order to eliminate the styrene odor.

P. Short

May 22, 2003

Phone (703) 308-2395

Fax (703) 872-9310

PATRICIA A SHORT PRIMARY EXAMINER

(due a sht